# ANNEX B: THE NATIONAL BASELINE ASSESSMENT (NBA) TEMPLATE

This draft NBA template is being made publicly available for open consultations and road-testing from November 2017 to May 2018. The Danish Institute for Human Rights (DIHR) and the International Corporate Accountability Roundtable (ICAR) welcome and encourage governments, business enterprises, civil society organisations, academics, and other interested actors to utilise this template and provide your feedback during this period.

Please share your comments, experiences, and concerns with DIHR and ICAR by 30 May 2018, by contacting either Paloma Muñoz Quick, Human Rights and Business Advisor at <a href="mailto:pamu@humanrights.dk">pamu@humanrights.dk</a> or Cindy Woods, Legal and Policy Associate, at <a href="mailto:cindy@icar.ngo">cindy@icar.ngo</a>.

A NBA on business and human rights has the primary objective of assessing the current level of implementation of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) in a given state. It brings together an analysis of the legal and policy gaps in UNGP implementation with an overview of the adverse human rights impacts of business to identify the most salient human rights issues in a given context. In this way, it serves to inform the formulation and prioritisation of actions in a NAP.

The NBA Template contains a suggested methodology to evaluate the current level of implementation of the UNGPs and other relevant business and human rights frameworks by state and business actors. Originally developed by DIHR and ICAR in 2014, the NBA Template has been used in various national contexts (e.g. Chile, Denmark, Mexico, Germany, Kenya, Serbia, and Zambia). This revised template incorporates user feedback and addresses all three pillars of the UNGPs. This is in contrast to the original template published in the 2014 version of the Toolkit, which only discusses the Guiding Principles under Pillars I and III that related specifically to state action.

# **Structure of the Template**

The structure of the revised NBA Template consists of a set of tables that cover all of the UNGPs, though not individually or in consecutive order. Given the overlapping nature of the UNGPs under each pillar, this template integrates various principles together in an effort to avoid repetition of data collected. Likewise, given the cross-cutting nature of the third pillar on access to remedy, the template mainstreams remedy under pillar one on the state duty to protect and pillar two on the business responsibility to respect.

The NBA template provides guiding questions aimed at helping users identify relevant information under each pillar of the UNGPs. The guiding questions provided in this template reflect the conceptual and methodological framework of indicators developed by the Office of the High Commission for Human Rights (OHCHR). In line with OHCHR's framework, the guiding questions seek to support researchers in assessing progress of implementation at the structural, process, and outcome levels, which together provide a comprehensive picture of duty-bearers' efforts to address their obligations and responsibilities.

Guiding questions on commitments to international, regional, and soft law human rights standards reflect the structural dimension; questions aimed at identifying the measures taken by duty-bearers to meet such standards yield information on the process dimension; and questions on the actual result of these efforts reflect the outcome dimension.

These guiding questions may be applied and contextualised at the national level, and should not be considered exhaustive.

Moreover, the template indicates links to the Goals and targets of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development where relevant. This serves to situate the business and human rights agenda in the broader context of sustainable development, and point to ongoing debates in that sphere that may hold relevant information for the assessment. In addition, the monitoring framework that has been established to track progress on implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals represents a potential data source for this assessment. A table on more specific links between the Guiding Principles and the SDGs is provided at the end of this document.

#### Methodology for Conducting a NBA

NBAs, as a methodology of evaluation, are commonly conducted using a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods. Quantitative methods include surveys to generate new data or, where resources are scarce or reliable data already exists, to extract secondary data, ideally with support from statisticians or specialists. Qualitative methods, such as interviews or focus groups, can be used to gather complementary information about values, opinions, behaviour, and context, such as social and cultural factors.

For all sections of the template which relate to the state duty to protect or provide access to effective remedy, the NBA should clearly identify measures taken by the state that support compliance with international and regional human rights standards, as well as any gaps where state measures are lacking or inadequate. Completing the NBA will therefore require research into provisions of a state's constitution, domestic statutes, administrative regulations, policies, public programmes, and other interventions of public bodies. The NBA should cite and collate relevant recommendations of international human rights bodies, such as the International Labour Organisation (ILO) and UN and regional human rights bodies. Data sources to consider when completing the NBA include official statistics, existing survey results, reports by the national human rights institution (NHRI) and intergovernmental organisations, scholarly journals, and newspaper articles.

With regard to business enterprises active or based in the state's territory, their implementation of the UNGPs under Pillar II and the UNGPs relevant to business responsibility in Pillar III should be analysed in order to support the design of adequate measures within the NAP to address implementation gaps. This includes assessing to what extent businesses have committed to respecting human rights, including by carrying out human rights due diligence, and to provide and/or collaborate in providing effective remedy.

Information on the state's duty to protect and remedy should be accessible through publicly available sources online or through access to information requests. However, not all information will be available, especially in the case of information relevant to business policies, processes and remediation efforts. In these cases, more effective sources may include: information collected through bi-lateral interviews and/or questionnaires targeting businesses or state agencies.

For more information about the methodology of conducting a NBA, see sections 2.2.2. NBA Methodology and 2.2.3. Analysing the Implementation of the UNGPs by the State and Business in the full NAPs Toolkit.

#### I. PILLARS I & III: STATE DUTY TO PROTECT & REMEDY

#### STATE DUTY: LAWS, POLICIES, AND REGULATION

<u>Guiding Principle 1</u>: States must protect against human rights abuse within their territory and/or jurisdiction by third parties, including business enterprises. This requires taking appropriate steps to prevent, investigate, punish and redress such abuse through effective policies, legislation, regulations and adjudication.

<u>Guiding Principle 2:</u> States should set out clearly the expectation that all business enterprises domiciled in their territory and/or jurisdiction respect human rights throughout their operations.

Guiding Principle 3: In meeting their duty to protect, states should:

- (a) Enforce laws that are aimed at, or have the effect of, requiring business enterprises to respect human rights, and periodically to assess the adequacy of such laws and address any gaps;
- (b) Ensure that other laws and policies governing the creation and ongoing operation of business enterprises, such as corporate law, do not constrain but enable business respect for human rights;
- (c) Provide effective guidance to business enterprises on how to respect human rights throughout their operations;
- (d) Encourage, and where appropriate require, business enterprises to communicate how they address their human rights impacts.

# **Relevant Sustainable Development Goals and Targets**

- Goal 1: End poverty in all its forms everywhere (Target 1.4)
- Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture (Target 2.3)
- Goal 8: Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all (Target 8.5, Target 8.7, Target 8.8)
- Goal 12: Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns (Target 12.4)
- Goal 10: Reduce inequality within and among countries (Target 10.3)

- Goal 17: Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development (Target 17.1, Target 17.5, Target 17.11)
- Goal 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels (Target 16.7, 16.10, Target 16.a, Target 16.b)

# 1. International and Regional Legal and Soft Law Instrument

Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state signed and ratified relevant international and	
regional human rights legal instruments and any corresponding	
protocols? Including:	
ICERD, ICCPR, ICESCR, CEDAW, CAT, CRC, CRPD;	
The core ILO conventions;	
The African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights;	
The American Convention on Human Rights; and	
The European Convention for the Protection of Human	
Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.	
Are there any other relevant human rights legal instruments	
that the state has signed and ratified?	
Has the state given a formal statement of support for the	
UNGPs?	
Has the state disseminated information about the UNGPs	
through public media sources, internal guidance documents, or	
other materials? Has the state put in place measures to	
capacitate state actors and local citizens with knowledge and	
information on the UNGPs, for example, through workshops,	
conferences, or other events?	

Hearth and a control of the control	
Has the state participated in international efforts to develop a	
binding instrument on human rights and business?	
Which other relevant instruments has the state signed or made	
a formal statement of support? For example:	
<ul> <li>The Sustainable Development Goals;</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises;</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>The OECD Anti-Bribery Convention;</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>The UN Convention against Corruption; or</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Open Government Partnership.</li> </ul>	
Does the state support and participate in other relevant	
initiatives on business and human rights? For example:	
<ul> <li>The Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI);</li> </ul>	
The International Code of Conduct for Private Security	
Service Providers Association (ICoCA); and	
<ul> <li>The Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights</li> </ul>	
(VPs).	
Has the state noted and accepted recommendations from the	
UN Human Rights Council, such as through the Universal	
Periodic Review (UPR) process, or from other UN treaty bodies	
that are relevant to preventing adverse human rights impacts	
by businesses domiciled within the state's territory or	•
jurisdiction, operating at home or abroad? How has the state	
followed up on these recommendations and has the state	
monitored its implementation of the recommendations?	
Has the state noted and followed up on recommendations by	
any other international or regional bodies regarding steps to	
prevent business-related adverse human rights impacts?	

2. National Laws, Policies, and Regulations	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state put in place relevant structures to ensure	
implementation of the UNGPs, for example, through the	
establishment or designation of a body tasked with	
implementation measures or through the allocation of internal	
resources?	
Has the state introduced and/or implemented policies to help	
facilitate business respect for human rights through the	
adoption of National Action Plans (NAPs) on business and	
human rights, corporate social responsibility, development,	
anti-discrimination, government transparency, women's rights,	
or human rights in general?	
Has the state set out and fully disseminated to relevant	
government agencies (including foreign embassies and	
consulates) clear policy statements on the expectation that all	
businesses domiciled in its territory and/or jurisdiction respect	
human rights?	
Is the state undertaking or supporting activities to identify	
specific business sectors or activities that may have particularly	
negative impacts on human rights, such as the extractive,	
apparel, and other sectors?	

Is the state undertaking or supporting any activities to identify	
specific impacts on particularly vulnerable groups, such as	
women, children, minorities, and indigenous peoples?	
Has the state developed guidance for businesses on respecting	
human rights that is appropriate to different industry sectors	
(for example, high-risk sectors such as extractives), particular	
human rights issues (for example, working conditions,	
discrimination), and different types of business enterprises (for	
example, MNEs, SMEs)?	
DUE DILIGENCE	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state established laws requiring business enterprises to	
do human rights due diligence, including in relation to their	
subsidiaries and suppliers, regardless of where they operate?	
Has the state provided guidance around its expectations and	
best practices in relation to human rights due diligence?	
CORPORATE STRUCTURES AND GOVERNANCE	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state established measures to overcome the challenges	
associated with limited liability of parent companies? For	
example, has the state established a "duty of care" for parent	
companies in terms of the human rights impacts of their	
subsidiaries, regardless of where the subsidiaries operate?	
Has the state put in place corporate and/or securities laws and	
regulations to support ethical corporate behaviour and	
regulations to support ethical corporate behaviour and business respect for human rights, such as those relating to	

financial reporting; articles of incorporation; registration; and	
corporate board, director, and stock exchange listing	
requirements?	
Has the state put in place laws and regulations to support	
disclosure and reporting by corporations on human rights,	
labour rights, environmental impacts, corporate social	
responsibility, or other ethical issues? Do these laws and	
regulations extend to reporting on operations and activities	
abroad? Has the state provide guidance on how human rights	
impacts are "material" to the economic performance of the	
reporting business enterprise?	
Has the state provided any incentives for businesses to respect	
human rights, such as such as preferential treatment in	
procurement processes where a company evidences the	
responsibility to respect human rights?	
responsibility to respect numbering res.	
LABOUR	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state put in place labour laws and regulations to ensure	
business respect for workers' rights? Are these laws in line with	
the protection provided by the ILO Fundamental Principles and	
Rights at Work (Core Conventions) and any other ILO	
conventions ratified by the state?	

Has the state provided law enforcement and relevant authorities with information and training on issues related to labour rights, including forced labour, child labour, non-discrimination, freedom of association, collective bargaining, living wage, etc.?	
Does the state have systems in place to monitor enforcement and implementation of these labour laws and regulations?	
Are there publicly reported cases of business-related adverse impacts on labour rights, including child labour, forced labour, discrimination, violations of freedom of association and collective bargaining, and inadequate working conditions, when operating at home or abroad?	
ENVIRONMENT AND LAND	
LIVINGIVILLIVI AND LAND	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
	Status and Gaps

Has the state provided law enforcement and relevant	
authorities with information and training on issues related to	
land rights and the linkages between human rights and the	
environment?	
Does the state have systems in place to monitor enforcement	
and implementation of these laws and regulations?	
Are there publicly reported cases of business-related adverse	
human rights impacts in the context of land and the	
environment?	
COMMUNITY CONSULTATION AND ENGAGEMENT	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Are there legal requirements for businesses to conduct public	
consultations before, during, and after the commencement of a	
major project that may impact local communities?	
Is there a requirement for the free, prior, and informed consent	
(FPIC) of potentially impacted indigenous communities? Has	
the state provided relevant authorities with information and	
training on issues related to the rights of indigenous peoples,	
including their right to FPIC?	
Does the state have systems in place to monitor enforcement	
and implementation of these laws and regulations?	
Are there publicly reported cases of failure by businesses	
domiciled in the state's territory or jurisdiction to effectively	
conduct public consultations and/or FPIC processes in relation	
to their operations at home or abroad?	

OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state put in place health and safety laws and	
regulations to ensure business respect for the physical and	
mental health of workers and communities?	
Has the state provided law enforcement and relevant	
authorities with information and training on labour rights in the	
context of occupational health and safety?	
Does the state have systems in place to monitor enforcement	
and implementation of these laws and regulations?	
·	
Are there publicly reported cases of adverse impacts on the	
health and safety of workers at home or abroad by businesses	
domiciled in the state's territory or jurisdiction?	
TAX	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state put in place tax laws and regulations to support	
ethical corporate behaviour and business respect for human	
rights?	
Has the state provided law enforcement and relevant	
authorities with information and training on the human rights	
impacts of tax evasion and avoidance?	
Does the state have systems in place to monitor enforcement	
and implementation of these laws and regulations?	

Are there publicly reported cases of adverse human rights impacts stemming from tax evasion and avoidance by businesses domiciled in the state's territory or jurisdiction operating at home or abroad?  TRADE AND INVESTMENT	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Does the state require that a human rights impact assessment	
be conducted on the potential impacts of trade and investment	
agreements before signing such agreements?	
Do trade and investment agreements include specific,	
enforceable provisions requiring compliance with	
internationally recognised human rights, including labour	
rights?	
Has the state put in place laws and regulations to promote	
business respect for human rights within trade practices? For	
example, are there laws or regulations that ensure that goods	
and services being imported are not linked to violations of	
internationally recognised human rights, including labour	
rights?	
Are there laws and policies that ensure that exported goods	
and services, such as dual use technologies, do not contribute	
to adverse human rights impacts abroad?	
Do state institutions that support overseas investment have	
and enforce performance standards that support the	
protection and promotion of human rights?	

Has the state provided law enforcement and relevant authorities with information and training on issues related to trade and investment?	
Does the state have systems in place to monitor enforcement and implementation of these laws, policies, and regulations?	
Are there any publicly reported instances of trade and investment agreements undermining the realisation of human rights at home or abroad? For example, the use of a stabilisation clauses or investor-state dispute settlement provisions to undermine the state's duty to protect human rights.	
ANTI-BRIBERY AND CORRUPTION	
ANTI-DRIDERT AND CORROPTION	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
	Status and Gaps
Guiding Questions  Has the state put in place laws and regulations aimed at promoting anti-bribery and combatting corruption within and	Status and Gaps

Are there publicly reported cases of adverse human rights impacts stemming from corruption by business when operating at home or abroad?	
HUMAN RIGHTS DEFENDERS AND WHISTLEBLOWERS	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state put in place laws and regulations aimed at	
supporting business respect for the rights of human rights	
defenders and/or whistle-blowers?	
Has the state provided law enforcement and relevant	
authorities with information and training on issues related to	
the specific needs and challenges faced by human rights	
defenders and whistle-blowers?	
Does the state have systems in place to monitor enforcement	
and implementation of these laws and regulations? For	
example, through establishing a government focal point	
responsible for monitoring adverse impacts on human rights	
defenders and whistleblowers?	
Are there publicly reported cases of adverse human rights	
impacts on human rights defenders and/or whistle-blowers by	
business when operating at home or abroad?	

INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION	
<b>Guiding Questions</b>	Status and Gaps
Has the state put in place laws and regulations to ensure the	
protection of access to information, freedom of expression,	
privacy, and other rights relevant to information and	
communication, both on and off line?	
Has the state provided law enforcement and relevant	
authorities with information and training related to information	
and communication-based rights?	
Does the state have systems in place to monitor enforcement	
and implementation of these laws and regulations?	
Are there publicly reported cases of adverse human rights	
impacts on access to information, freedom of expression,	
privacy, and other information and communication rights by	
business when operating at home or abroad?	
CONSUMER PROTECTION	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state put in place consumer laws and regulations to	
ensure business respect for human rights?	
Has the state provided law enforcement and relevant	
authorities with information and training on human rights	
issues related to consumer protection, such as product safety	
and labelling practices?	

Does the state have systems in place to monitor enforcement and implementation of these laws and regulations?	
Are there publicly reported cases of adverse human rights	
impacts on consumers by businesses domiciled in the state's	
territory or jurisdiction when operating at home or abroad?	
ANTI-DISCRIMINATION	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state put in place anti-discrimination laws and	
regulations to support business respect for human rights?	
regulations to support business respect for numan rights:	
Does the state have systems in place to monitor enforcement	
and implementation of these laws and regulations, such as an	
anti-discrimination or equal opportunity body?	
Are there publicly reported cases of discrimination at home or	
abroad by businesses domiciled in the state's territory or	
jurisdiction?	
NATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS INSTITUTION (NHRI)	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state established a National Human Rights Institution	
(NHRI)? If so, is it compliant with the Paris Principles? Does the	
NHRI's mandate include business and human rights? Does the	
NHRI have sufficient funding to carry out its mandate?	
Does the state finance NHRI activities within the field of	
business and human rights? Does the state support the NHRI in	
providing guidance on human rights to business enterprises?	

Does the state support the NHRI in monitoring the human	
rights impacts of business?	
ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state introduced and/or implemented sector-specific laws and policies to help facilitate business respect for human rights and alignment with international initiatives? Such as within particularly high-risk industries, e.g. the extractive and apparel sectors.	
Has the state put in place any other relevant laws and regulations aimed at protecting and promoting human rights from business-related harms, both at home and abroad?	

#### **STATE-BUSINESS NEXUS**

#### **Guiding Principle 4:**

States should take additional steps to protect against human rights abuses by business enterprises that are owned or controlled by the State, or that receive substantial support and services from State agencies such as export credit agencies and official investment insurance or guarantee agencies, including, where appropriate, by requiring human rights due diligence.

<u>Guiding Principle 5</u>: States should exercise adequate oversight in order to meet their international human rights obligations when they contract with, or legislate for, business enterprises to provide services that may impact upon the enjoyment of human rights.

# **Guiding Principle 6:**

States should promote respect for human rights by business enterprises with which they conduct commercial transactions.

#### **Relevant Sustainable Development Goals and Targets**

- Goal 12: Responsible consumption and production (Target 12.7)
- Goal 17: Partnerships for the Goals (Target 17.17)
- Sectors that are typically privatised include education (Goal 4), water and sanitations (Goal 6), energy (Goal 7), infrastructure (Goal 9) and security (Goal 16)

# 1. Businesses Owned or Controlled by the State, or Receiving Substantial Support and/or Services from State Agencies Guiding Questions Status and Gaps

receiving substantial support from state agencies are required
by the state?
What types of supply chain management measures by state-
owned or controlled husiness enterprises or husinesses

What types of human rights due diligence measures by state-

owned or controlled business enterprises or businesses

19

receiving substantial support from state agencies are required by the state?	
Has the state set out any other special measures to support the	
human rights performance of state-owned or controlled	
business enterprises or businesses receiving substantial support	
including requiring these enterprises to take into account	
human rights considerations?	
How does the state ensure that effective human rights due	
diligence and supply chain management is being carried out by	
state-owned or controlled business enterprises or businesses	
receiving substantial support? What type of oversight do such	
government departments have over these enterprises (for	
example, inclusion of human rights performance information in	
management reports to relevant state agencies)?	
Are there publicly reported instances of adverse human rights	
impacts associated with businesses that are owned or	
controlled by the state?	
Has the state put in place measures to ensure that businesses	
benefitting from support from the state through export credit	
agencies official investment insurance, guarantee agencies or	
receiving other type of support from the state are respecting human rights?	
Are there incentives for such institutions to take human rights	
impacts into consideration in their financing and investment	
procedures?	

Are there publicly reported instances of companies receiving	
support through export credit agencies, official investment	
insurance, guarantee agencies or receiving other type of	
support from the state that have caused, contributed or been	
linked to adverse human rights impacts of business enterprises	
with whom they contract?	
2. Businesses Providing Public Services	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state adopted legislative or contractual protections for	
human rights in delivery of privatised services by the central or	
local government, for example, for the provision of services	
related to health, education, care-delivery, housing, or the	
penal system? Do such protections include a state-performed	
assessment of human rights impacts of the potential	
consequences of a planned privatisation of provision of public	
services, prior to the provision of such services? Do public	
procurement contracts clarify the state's expectation that	
businesses respect human rights in delivering services and	
comply with human rights standards?	
Is the state a party to the Montreux Document on Pertinent	
International Legal Obligations and Good Practices for states	
Related to Operations of Private Military and Security	
Companies During Armed Conflict? If so, how does it	
incorporate commitments into national laws? Is the state party	
to the International Code of Conduct for Private Security	
Providers Association (ICoCA), and if so, how does it incorporate	
commitments into national laws and procurement processes? Is	

the state party to the Voluntary Principles on Security and	
Human Rights? If so, how does it incorporate commitments into	
national laws, including around the provision of public security?	
Has the state put any other measures in place to ensure that	
public service delivery by private enterprises does not have any	
negative human rights impacts?	
What kind of screening processes does the state have in place	
to promote business respect for human rights, such as by	
providing preferential treatment to business enterprises that	
demonstrate respect for human rights? Does the state exclude	
from the bidding process those businesses that have	
demonstrated poor respect for human rights (such as poor and	
hazardous working conditions, as well as excessive use of force	
or maltreatment of individuals receiving care)?	
Do relevant state agencies effectively oversee the activities of	
the enterprises that provide services on behalf of the state?	
Does the state provide for adequate independent monitoring	
and accountability mechanisms of the activities of the private	
providers? Does the state provide for specific oversight of high-	
risk services, such as those related to health and security?	
Are there publicly reported adverse human rights impacts	
associated with the delivery of public services by private	
enterprises, including, for example, in the area of education,	
healthcare, housing, security, etc.?	

3. Businesses from which the State Procures Goods or Services of	or conducts other Commercial Activities
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Do state agencies explicitly require contractors to comply with	
specific human rights protections? If so, have state agencies	
produced guidance for contractors to address requirement to	
comply with human rights protections??	
Can state agencies use human rights criteria as a consideration	
in procurement processes? At what stage of the procurement	
process can human rights criteria be included (can human rights	
protections be incorporated beyond the initial procurement	
phase and in the life-cycle of the contract, including the	
monitoring and review phases)?	
Have state agencies taken steps to clarify how human criteria	
can be incorporated in public procurement? Does such	
guidance cover the full procurement life-cycle?	
Do state agencies conduct a human a rights risk assessment to	
identify the risk of human rights violations and abuses in	
procurement contracts or categories of procurement contracts?	
If such assessments occur, what action is taken by state	
agencies in relation to the contracts deemed to be in a category	
of higher risk of potential human rights violations and abuses?	

procurement contract? Do state agencies require contractors to undertake human rights due diligence (including human rights risk assessments)? Do state agencies provide guidance to businesses on how to conduct human rights due diligence including human rights impact assessments?  Do state agencies require contactors to disclose information on their supply chain, including specific subcontractors and the addresses of factories or sites of supply? Do state agencies require contractors to certify that they know their subcontractors, including specific locations of production or supply, and that they have management systems to ensure compliance? Do state agencies confirm a contractor's assurances and require development of compliance plans during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other		I
undertake human rights due diligence (including human rights risk assessments)? Do state agencies provide guidance to businesses on how to conduct human rights due diligence including human rights impact assessments?  Do state agencies require contactors to disclose information on their supply chain, including specific subcontractors and the addresses of factories or sites of supply? Do state agencies require contractors to certify that they know their subcontractors, including specific locations of production or supply, and that they have management systems to ensure compliance? Do state agencies confirm a contractor's assurances and require development of compliance plans during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	Can due diligence requirements be included within a public	
risk assessments)? Do state agencies provide guidance to businesses on how to conduct human rights due diligence including human rights impact assessments?  Do state agencies require contactors to disclose information on their supply chain, including specific subcontractors and the addresses of factories or sites of supply? Do state agencies require contractors to certify that they know their subcontractors, including specific locations of production or supply, and that they have management systems to ensure compliance? Do state agencies confirm a contractor's assurances and require development of compliance plans during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	procurement contract? Do state agencies require contractors to	
businesses on how to conduct human rights due diligence including human rights impact assessments?  Do state agencies require contactors to disclose information on their supply chain, including specific subcontractors and the addresses of factories or sites of supply? Do state agencies require contractors to certify that they know their subcontractors, including specific locations of production or supply, and that they have management systems to ensure compliance? Do state agencies confirm a contractor's assurances and require development of compliance plans during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	undertake human rights due diligence (including human rights	
Including human rights impact assessments?  Do state agencies require contactors to disclose information on their supply chain, including specific subcontractors and the addresses of factories or sites of supply? Do state agencies require contractors to certify that they know their subcontractors, including specific locations of production or supply, and that they have management systems to ensure compliance? Do state agencies confirm a contractor's assurances and require development of compliance plans during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	risk assessments)? Do state agencies provide guidance to	
Do state agencies require contactors to disclose information on their supply chain, including specific subcontractors and the addresses of factories or sites of supply? Do state agencies require contractors to certify that they know their subcontractors, including specific locations of production or supply, and that they have management systems to ensure compliance? Do state agencies confirm a contractor's assurances and require development of compliance plans during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	businesses on how to conduct human rights due diligence	
their supply chain, including specific subcontractors and the addresses of factories or sites of supply? Do state agencies require contractors to certify that they know their subcontractors, including specific locations of production or supply, and that they have management systems to ensure compliance? Do state agencies confirm a contractor's assurances and require development of compliance plans during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	including human rights impact assessments?	
addresses of factories or sites of supply? Do state agencies require contractors to certify that they know their subcontractors, including specific locations of production or supply, and that they have management systems to ensure compliance? Do state agencies confirm a contractor's assurances and require development of compliance plans during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	Do state agencies require contactors to disclose information on	
require contractors to certify that they know their subcontractors, including specific locations of production or supply, and that they have management systems to ensure compliance? Do state agencies confirm a contractor's assurances and require development of compliance plans during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	their supply chain, including specific subcontractors and the	
subcontractors, including specific locations of production or supply, and that they have management systems to ensure compliance? Do state agencies confirm a contractor's assurances and require development of compliance plans during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	addresses of factories or sites of supply? Do state agencies	
supply, and that they have management systems to ensure compliance? Do state agencies confirm a contractor's assurances and require development of compliance plans during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	require contractors to certify that they know their	
compliance? Do state agencies confirm a contractor's assurances and require development of compliance plans during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	subcontractors, including specific locations of production or	
assurances and require development of compliance plans during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	supply, and that they have management systems to ensure	
during the award stage?  Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	compliance? Do state agencies confirm a contractor's	
Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	assurances and require development of compliance plans	
enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?  Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	during the award stage?	
Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	Do state agencies investigate the involvement of business	
procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups (for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	enterprises in adverse human rights impacts?	
(for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	Do state agencies engage in selective or targeted public	
to businesses working to achieve specific human right objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	procurement, such as preferential award to vulnerable groups	
objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict reintegration)?  Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	(for example, ethnic minorities or persons with disabilities) or	
reintegration)? Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	to businesses working to achieve specific human right	
Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	objectives (for example, gender equality or post-conflict	
that public procurement complies with human rights protection or to promote respect for human rights among other	reintegration)?	
or to promote respect for human rights among other	Have state agencies put any other measures in place to ensure	
	that public procurement complies with human rights protection	
businesses with which it engages in commercial relationships,	or to promote respect for human rights among other	
	businesses with which it engages in commercial relationships,	

such as through business partnerships for economic	
development and innovation (for example, growth funds, or	
strategic support for innovation in certain sectors, such as	
green energy or medical technology, or requiring businesses to	
implement sector-wide standards which include human rights	
protections)?	
What remedial procedures or mechanisms are in place to	
address human rights abuses by contractors? What remedial	
procedures or mechanisms are in place for victims of human	
rights abuses by contractors?	
What percentage of resources is dedicated to contract	
management? Do state agencies have information systems and	
dedicated staff to monitor contractor compliance with human	
rights requirements? Do state agencies require contractors to	
regularly report on the performance of the contract? Do these	
reports include human rights issues?	
Are there publicly reported cases of adverse human rights	
impacts associated with businesses from which the state	
procures or conducts other commercial activities with?	

#### STATES' ROLE IN RELATION TO BUSINESS CONDUCTED IN CONFLICT-AFFECTED AREAS

#### **Guiding Principle 7:**

Because the risk of gross human rights abuses is heightened in conflict-affected areas, States should help ensure that business enterprises operating in those contexts are not involved with such abuses, including by:

- (a) Engaging at the earliest stage possible with business enterprises to help them identify, prevent and mitigate the human rights-related risks of their activities and business relationships;
- (b) Providing adequate assistance to business enterprises to assess and address the heightened risks of abuses, paying special attention to both gender-based and sexual violence;
- (c) Denying access to public support and services for a business enterprise that is involved with gross human rights abuses and refuses to cooperate in addressing the situation;

Ensuring that their current practices, legislation, regulations and enforcement measures are effective in addressing the risk of business involvement in gross human rights abuses.

# **Relevant Sustainable Development Goals and Targets**

• Goal 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels (Target 16.1)

#### 1. Legal and Policy Considerations in Conflicted-Affected Areas

Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state signed relevant international and regional	
treaties, directives, etc. in relation to the business role in	
conflict-affected areas, such as the Geneva Conventions, Arms	
Trade Treaty, regional directives, etc.?	
Does the state participate in relevant initiatives (for example,	
the VPs, ICOC, EITI, the Kimberley Process, etc.)?	

Are there publically available examples of the effectiveness of	
state participation in relevant initiatives or examples of	
shortcomings in relevant initiatives in relation to upholding	
their mission of promoting human rights in conflict-affected	
areas?	
Has the state engaged in multilateral approaches to prevent	
and address acts of gross human rights abuses, such as through	
accepting the jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court	
(ICC)?	
Has the state introduced civil or criminal liability for enterprises	
domiciled or operating in their territory or jurisdiction that	
commit or contribute to gross human rights abuses, including	
abuses committed abroad? Is it possible for the state to impose	
sanctions on persons and entities, for example by seizing	
equipment or freezing assets?	
Are there laws, policies, and regulations in place to ensure that	
materials and resources sourced from conflict-affected areas	
are not connected to or exacerbating conflict?	
Does the state have a procedure for investigating business	
activities in conflict-affected areas (e.g. through the	
appointment of a special mission assignment to the local	
embassies to investigate in the host state and report to relevant	
authorities in the home state)?	
Has the state established procedures for communicating with	
host states regarding business operations in conflict-affected	
areas?	

Has the state put in place efforts with the aim of fostering
closer cooperation among its development assistance agencies,
foreign and trade ministries, and export finance institutions in
its capital and within its embassies, as well as between these
agencies and host state actors to address the risk of business
involvement in gross human rights abuses?
Are there publicly reported instances of adverse human rights
Are there publicly reported instances of adverse numan rights
impacts caused by business-entities domiciled in the state but
impacts caused by business-entities domiciled in the state but

#### **POLICY COHERENCE ACROSS STATE ACTIVITY**

#### **Guiding Principle 8:**

States should ensure that governmental departments, agencies and other State-based institutions that shape business practices are aware of and observe the State's human rights obligations when fulfilling their respective mandates, including by providing them with relevant information, training and support.

#### **Guiding Principle 9:**

States should maintain adequate domestic policy space to meet their human rights obligations when pursuing business-related policy objectives with other States or business enterprises, for instance through investment treaties or contracts.

#### **Guiding Principle 10:**

States, when acting as members of multilateral institutions that deal with business-related issues, should:

- a) Seek to ensure that those institutions neither restrain the ability of their member States to meet their duty to protect nor hinder business enterprises from respecting human rights;
- b) Encourage those institutions, within their respective mandates and capacities, to promote business respect for human rights and, where requested, to help States meet their duty to protect against human rights abuse by business enterprises, including through technical assistance, capacity-building and awareness-raising;
- c) Draw on these Guiding Principles to promote shared understanding and advance international cooperation in the management of business and human rights challenges.

#### **Relevant Sustainable Development Goals and Targets**

• Goal 17: Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development. (Target 17.14)

1. Horizontal and Vertical Policy Coherence		
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps	
Has the state published a written commitment to business and		
human rights? If so, (1) has this commitment been		
communicated to governmental departments and (2) does this		
commitment help to clarify the role of different departments		
(for example, labour, business, development, foreign affairs,		
finance, or justice)?		
Has the state developed a clear division of responsibilities to		
help coordinate human rights and business issues between and		
across different government agencies and departments?		
Has the state developed guidance material and training to help		
clarify the roles of different departments in promoting and		
protecting human rights with regard to the role of business?		
Does this guidance include specific information on protection of		
human rights and how this relates to international and regional		
obligations and commitments? Does this guidance include		
specific information on the protection of human rights in trade,		
with an emphasis on the role of regional bodies and		
international organisations, such as international and regional		
finance institutions? Does the guidance provide information on		
the roles and responsibilities across ministries or agencies?		
Has the state provided the responsible entity or office with		
adequate resources in terms of funding and political support, in		
order for it to work actively in contributing to meeting the duty		
of the state to protect human rights within individual areas of		
responsibility and expertise?		

2. Policy Coherence in State Agreements with Business Enterpris	ses
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Are there laws or policies in place to ensure that human rights	
considerations are included in agreements between the state	
and business enterprises?	
Are there laws or policies in place to ensure that business	
enterprises domiciled in the state's territory or jurisdiction	
respect the principles of responsible contracting when those	
businesses enter into agreements with host states?	
Does the state support or advocate for the inclusion of human	
rights considerations and the principles of responsible	
contracting in agreements between the state and business	
enterprises or between host states and businesses domiciled in	
the state's territory or jurisdiction?	
Are there publically available examples of adverse human rights	
impacts associated with specific state-business agreements? If	
so, where human rights considerations included in the	
contracting process?	
3. State Policy Coherence in Multilateral Institutions	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state established procedures and measures to ensure	
support for business and human rights frameworks, including	
the UNGPs, in positions taken internationally and regionally (for	

example, on human rights screening and documenting of	
negotiating positions, as well as training of trade and	
development officials on business and human rights	
frameworks)?	
Does the state promote its duty to protect and the corporate	
responsibility to respect in multilateral institutions, including	
international trade and financial institutions, the UN system,	
regional institutions, and with business organisation and	
workers' associations? Has the state taken measures to	
promote awareness of the UNGPs and the broader business	
and human rights agenda?	
What have been the impacts of state efforts to promote the	
UNGPs and other business and human rights frameworks in	
multilateral institutions to which it is a member?	

#### **ACCESS TO STATE-BASED REMEDY**

<u>Guiding Principle 25</u>: As part of their duty to protect against business-related human rights abuse, states must take appropriate steps to ensure, through judicial, administrative, legislative or other appropriate means, that when such abuses occur within their territory and/or jurisdiction those affected have access to effective remedy.

# **Relevant Sustainable Development Goals and Targets**

• Goal 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels (Target 16.3)

# 1. Redress for Business-Related Human Rights Abuses

Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state put in place laws, policies, and regulations that	
introduce civil liability, criminal liability, and administrative	
sanctions, such as fines or limited access to state funding, for	
business-related adverse human rights impacts, including for	
impacts that take place abroad? Do these mechanisms apply	
to individuals and/or businesses?	
Has the state put in place mechanisms that introduce	
compensation, such as fines or restoration of livelihoods, for	
business-related adverse human rights impacts, including for	
impacts that take place abroad?	
Has the state put in place mechanisms that introduce	
processes for the prevention of harm, such as injunctions or	
guarantees of non-repetition, for business-related adverse	
human rights impacts, including for impacts that take place	
abroad?	

Has the state put in place mechanisms to promote apologies	
for business-related adverse human rights impacts, including	
for impacts that take place abroad?	
Has the state made efforts to promote public awareness and	
understanding of the existence of laws, policies, and	
regulations that ensure redress for business-related adverse	
human rights impacts?	
Does the state have systems in place to monitor enforcement	
and implementation of laws, policies, and regulations?	

#### **JUDICIAL MECHANISMS**

<u>Guiding Principle 26:</u> States should take appropriate steps to ensure the effectiveness of domestic judicial mechanisms when addressing business-related human rights abuses, including considering ways to reduce legal, practical and other relevant barriers that could lead to a denial of access to remedy.

#### 1. Judicial Mechanisms

Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Do the national courts have the competency to adjudicate claims of business and human rights abuse, including for abuses that take place outside of their territorial jurisdiction?	
Do national labour tribunals have the competency to	
adjudicate claims of business-related human rights abuse?	
Do other judicial mechanisms have the competency to	
adjudicate claims of business-related human rights abuse?	

Has the state made efforts to promote public awareness and understanding of judicial mechanisms, including how they can be accessed?	
Are the judiciary, including civil, criminal, and commercial	
courts, as well as employment and other administrative	
tribunals and law enforcement, trained on issues related to	
business and human rights?	
0 101	
What measures are in place to monitor and ensure that	
judicial mechanisms are operating in a way that is impartial,	
with integrity, and in accordance with due process?	
2. Barriers for Access to Judicial Remedy	
Guiding Questions	Status and Cons
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no legal	Status and Gaps
	Status and Gaps
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no legal	Status and Gaps
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no legal barriers to prevent legitimate cases from being brought	Status and Gaps
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no legal barriers to prevent legitimate cases from being brought before the courts? This includes ensuring that:	Status and Gaps
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no legal barriers to prevent legitimate cases from being brought before the courts? This includes ensuring that:  (1) it is possible to hold businesses accountable under	Status and Gaps
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no legal barriers to prevent legitimate cases from being brought before the courts? This includes ensuring that:  (1) it is possible to hold businesses accountable under domestic criminal and civil laws, meaning that liability for both	Status and Gaps
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no legal barriers to prevent legitimate cases from being brought before the courts? This includes ensuring that:  (1) it is possible to hold businesses accountable under domestic criminal and civil laws, meaning that liability for both natural and legal persons exists under the law;	Status and Gaps
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no legal barriers to prevent legitimate cases from being brought before the courts? This includes ensuring that:  (1) it is possible to hold businesses accountable under domestic criminal and civil laws, meaning that liability for both natural and legal persons exists under the law;  (2) all members of society can raise complaints, including	Status and Gaps
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no legal barriers to prevent legitimate cases from being brought before the courts? This includes ensuring that:  (1) it is possible to hold businesses accountable under domestic criminal and civil laws, meaning that liability for both natural and legal persons exists under the law;  (2) all members of society can raise complaints, including indigenous peoples, migrants, women, and children, and are	Status and Gaps
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no legal barriers to prevent legitimate cases from being brought before the courts? This includes ensuring that:  (1) it is possible to hold businesses accountable under domestic criminal and civil laws, meaning that liability for both natural and legal persons exists under the law;  (2) all members of society can raise complaints, including indigenous peoples, migrants, women, and children, and are afforded the same legal protection as for the wider	Status and Gaps
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no legal barriers to prevent legitimate cases from being brought before the courts? This includes ensuring that:  (1) it is possible to hold businesses accountable under domestic criminal and civil laws, meaning that liability for both natural and legal persons exists under the law;  (2) all members of society can raise complaints, including indigenous peoples, migrants, women, and children, and are afforded the same legal protection as for the wider population;	Status and Gaps

(4) legal issues such as conflicts of law, statutes of limitations,	,
parent company limited liability, forum non conveniens and	
standards of liability do not result in barriers to victims of	
business-related human rights harms in accessing the courts.	
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no	T
practical or procedural barriers to prevent legitimate cases	
from being brought before the courts? This includes:	
(1) ensuring financial support including legal aid and other	
types of assistance;	
(2) providing legal representation or guidance;	
(3) providing opportunities for collective redress, class-	
actions, and multi-party litigation;	
(4) allowing for recovery of attorneys' fees;	
(5) preventing retaliatory actions against claimants;	
(6) reforming access to evidence; and	
(7) providing training, resources and support for prosecutors	
and judges.	
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no	
social barriers to prevent legitimate cases from being brought	t
before the courts? This includes:	
(1) addressing power imbalances between the parties;	
(2) targeted awareness-raising among vulnerable groups (for	
example, women, indigenous people, and children);	
(3) availability of child-sensitive procedures to children and	
their representatives;	
(4) efforts to combat corruption; and	
(6) protection of human rights defenders.	

Has the state taken measures to increase understanding of	
barriers amongst members of the judicial, other judicial	
mechanisms, and law enforcement, including through training	
and educational materials?	
Are there publicly reported examples and cases where victims	
Are there publicly reported examples and cases where victims of business-related human rights abuse have been unable to	

### **JUDICIAL MECHANISMS**

<u>Guiding Principle 27</u>: States should provide effective and appropriate non-judicial grievance mechanisms, alongside judicial mechanisms, as part of a comprehensive state-based system for the remedy of business-related human rights abuse.

<u>Guiding Principle 28</u>: States should consider ways to facilitate access to effective non-state-based grievance mechanisms dealing with business-related human rights harms.

**Guiding Principle 31: Effectiveness Criteria.** 

### **Effectiveness Criteria**

In order to ensure their effectiveness, non-judicial grievance mechanisms, both state-based and non-state-based, should be:

- (a) **Legitimate**: enabling trust from the stakeholder groups for whose use they are intended, and being accountable for the fair conduct of grievance processes;
- (b) **Accessible**: being known to all stakeholder groups for whose use they are intended, and providing adequate assistance for those who may face particular barriers to access;
- (c) **Predictable**: providing a clear and known procedure with an indicative time frame for each stage, and clarity on the types of process and outcome available and means of monitoring implementation;
- (d) **Equitable**: seeking to ensure that aggrieved parties have reasonable access to sources of information, advice and expertise necessary to engage in a grievance process on fair, informed and respectful terms;
- (e) **Transparent**: keeping parties to a grievance informed about its progress, and providing sufficient information about the mechanism's performance to build confidence in its effectiveness and meet any public interest at stake;
- (f) Rights-compatible: ensuring that outcomes and remedies accord with internationally recognised human rights;
- (g) A source of continuous learning: drawing on relevant measures to identify lessons for improving the mechanism and preventing future grievances and harms;

1. Non-Judicial Mechanisms	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Does the state provide mediation-based non-judicial	
mechanisms such as National Contact Points under the OECD	
Guidelines? Can these mechanisms be used for remedying	
business-related human rights abuses?	
Does the state provide adjudicative mechanisms such as state-	
run complaints offices (e.g. ombudsman offices)? Can these	
mechanisms be used for remedying business-related human	
rights abuses, including for abuses that take place outside of	
their territorial jurisdiction?	
Does the state provide other types of non-judicial	
mechanisms? Can these mechanisms be used for remedying	
business-related human rights abuses, including for abuses	
that take place outside of their territorial jurisdiction?  What measures does the state take to promote awareness of	
state-based non-judicial mechanisms with the public and	
potentially impacted communities?	
Does the state provide staff of state-based non-judicial	
mechanisms with support, education, and training on issues	
related to business and human rights?	
Do these mechanisms meet the effectiveness criteria set out	
in UNGP 31? What measures are in place to monitor the	
ongoing effectiveness of state-based non-judicial	
mechanisms?	

2. Role of NHRI	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state given the NHRI a mandate that allows it to:	
(1) receive and handle complaints relating to business-related	
adverse human rights impacts;	
(2) be in a supportive role to claimants, such as through	
mediation, conciliation, expert support, or legal aid;	
(3) promote awareness on remedy to and redress for	
business-related adverse human rights impacts;	
(4) provide training of relevant stakeholders on their access to	
remedy for business-related adverse human rights impacts;	
and/or	
(5) provide counselling on which remedy to access?	
What measures are in place to monitor the effectiveness of	
the NHRI in accordance with UNGP 31?	
Are there publicly reported examples and cases where the	
NHRI has failed to perform its role as a non-judicial	
mechanism for addressing grievances?	
3. Barriers for Access to Non-Judicial Remedy	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Has the state taken measures to ensure that there are no	
barriers to prevent legitimate cases from being heard by non-	
judicial mechanisms? Measures to prevent barriers include:	
(1) addressing imbalances between the parties;	

(2) targeted awareness-raising among vulnerable groups (such	
as women, indigenous peoples, or children);	
(3) expert advice or other types of assistance;	
(4) efforts to combat corruption; and	
(5) protection of human rights defenders.	
Has the state taken measures to increase understanding of	
barriers amongst staff of state-based non-judicial grievance	
mechanisms, including through training and educational	
materials?	
Are there publicly reported examples and cases where victims	
of business-related human rights abuse have been unable to	
access effective non-judicial remedy due to the presence of	
barriers?	
barriers?  4. Facilitating Access to Non-state-based Mechanisms	
	Status and Gaps
4. Facilitating Access to Non-state-based Mechanisms	Status and Gaps
4. Facilitating Access to Non-state-based Mechanisms  Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
4. Facilitating Access to Non-state-based Mechanisms  Guiding Questions  Has the state supported access to (1) business-based	Status and Gaps
4. Facilitating Access to Non-state-based Mechanisms  Guiding Questions  Has the state supported access to (1) business-based grievance mechanisms (such as whistle-blower mechanisms or	Status and Gaps
4. Facilitating Access to Non-state-based Mechanisms  Guiding Questions  Has the state supported access to (1) business-based grievance mechanisms (such as whistle-blower mechanisms or project-level grievance mechanisms); (2) multi-stakeholder	Status and Gaps
4. Facilitating Access to Non-state-based Mechanisms  Guiding Questions  Has the state supported access to (1) business-based grievance mechanisms (such as whistle-blower mechanisms or project-level grievance mechanisms); (2) multi-stakeholder grievance mechanisms; (3) organisational-based grievance	Status and Gaps
4. Facilitating Access to Non-state-based Mechanisms  Guiding Questions  Has the state supported access to (1) business-based grievance mechanisms (such as whistle-blower mechanisms or project-level grievance mechanisms); (2) multi-stakeholder grievance mechanisms; (3) organisational-based grievance mechanisms (including the union systems); (4) international	Status and Gaps

### PILLAR II & III: BUSINESS RESPONSIBILITY TO RESPECT & REMEDY

The purpose of this section is to support governments and other stakeholders in assessing the status of corporate actors, operating or headquartered in a given country, in meeting their responsibility to respect. This analysis will help to identify more precisely where the needs and challenges lie with respect to current state policies and processes aimed at ensuring respect for human rights by companies in different sectors and of different sizes. Doing so will provide a basis for tailoring NAP actions targeting business implementation of the relevant UNGPs under pillars II and III.

The template below suggests a set of guiding questions that will need to be tailored to a specific country context, and/or to the specific businesses or sector the research team proposes to focus on. In recognition that it is not feasible to conduct this assessment for all business enterprises domiciled or operating in a specific state, it is advisable that researchers define the scope of their study. For example, this template could be used to focus on the largest companies, or on companies from a specific sector. This template can also be helpful for companies themselves to support benchmarking their own policies and practices against the UNGPS.

These guiding questions may be applied and contextualised at the national level, and should not be considered exhaustive.

### Methodology for Conducting Pillar II NBA Assessment

Accessing the type of information necessary for answering the questions for the entire business community in a given country will be challenging. While information on some large companies might be publicly accessible through websites, sustainability reports etc., this will not necessarily be enough to assess the degree of implementation of the UNGPs by those companies. Moreover, relevant information will not be available for most companies, in particular in states where there are no or little requirements on non-financial reporting by companies, or where smaller companies are not required to report.

The NAP process provides a number of opportunities to generate useful data, as well as to encourage companies to start and/or further the implementation of the UNGPs. For example, bilateral meetings with companies, and industry-associations may enable the state to gather information and relevant documents. Company surveys in collaboration with industry associations and/or initiatives such as the UN Global Compact local networks or the CSR networks of business organisations can provide useful ways to NATIONAL ACTION PLANS ON BUSINESS AND HUMAN RIGHTS TOOLKIT – NATIONAL BASELINE ASSESSMENT TEMPLATE 42

generate data on corporate policies and procedures relevant to human rights. In addition, reports from civil society, trade unions, NHRIs, academia and the media, as well as engagement with rights-holders, will be important sources of information.

Various tools and methodologies have been developed over recent years in order to measure the level of implementation of the UNGPs by companies, at the project- level (human rights impact assessment methodologies)<sup>1</sup>, or at sector-level in a given country (sector-wide impact assessment- SWIAs<sup>2</sup>) or company level (human rights indicators for business (HRIB)<sup>3</sup>, UN Global Compact self-assessment tool<sup>4</sup> etc.). Methodologies have also been developed to measure and enable comparison across different companies on specific issues, such as anti-corruption (Corporate Anti-Corruption Benchmark)<sup>5</sup> or transparency more generally (Transparency in Myanmar Enterprises)<sup>6</sup>. The Corporate Human Rights Benchmark (CHRB)<sup>7</sup> is an initiative to assess the largest publicly-traded companies in the world on 100 human rights indicators. The template offered here builds on these tools including on the indicators of the CHRB, but does not provide any scoring methodology to rank companies.

Project-level impact assessment and sector-wide impact assessment can usefully be applied in conjunction with a NAP process as they will help to document actual or typical impacts of certain companies/sectors and support the identification of specific measures needed to address particular sectoral challenges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Danish Institute for Human Rights, *Human Rights Impact Assessment Toolbox and Guidance*, <a href="https://www.humanrights.dk/business/tools/human-rights-impact-assessment-guidance-and-toolbox">https://www.humanrights.dk/business/tools/human-rights-impact-assessment-guidance-and-toolbox</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Myanmar Centre on Responsible Business, Sector-Wide Impact Assessments, <a href="http://www.myanmar-responsiblebusiness.org/swia/">http://www.myanmar-responsiblebusiness.org/swia/</a> (last visited Nov. 24, 2017)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Business and Human Rights Resource Center, *Platform for Human Rights Indicators for Business*, <a href="https://business-humanrights.org/en/platform-for-human-rights-indicators-for-business-hrib">https://business-humanrights.org/en/platform-for-human-rights-indicators-for-business-hrib</a> (last visited Nov. 24, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Global Compact, UN Global Compact Self-Assessment tool, <a href="http://www.globalcompactselfassessment.org/">http://www.globalcompactselfassessment.org/</a> (last visited Nov. 24, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Transparency International UK, the Corporate Anti-Corruption Benchmark, <a href="http://www.transparency.org.uk/our-work/business-integrity/corporate-anti-corruption-benchmark/">http://www.transparency.org.uk/our-work/business-integrity/corporate-anti-corruption-benchmark/</a> (last visited Nov. 24, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Myanmar Centre for Responsible Business, *Pwint Thit Sa*, <a href="http://www.myanmar-responsiblebusiness.org/pwint-thit-sa/">http://www.myanmar-responsiblebusiness.org/pwint-thit-sa/</a> (last visited Nov. 24, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Corporate Human Rights Benchmark, *Corporate Human Rights Benchmark Pilot Methodology 2016* (March 2016), <a href="https://business-humanrights.org/sites/default/files/CHRB\_report\_06\_singles.pdf">https://business-humanrights.org/sites/default/files/CHRB\_report\_06\_singles.pdf</a> (last visited Nov. 24, 2017).

### **HUMAN RIGHTS POLICY COMMITMENT**

**Guiding Principle 11:** Business enterprises should respect human rights. This means that they should avoid infringing on the human rights of others and should address adverse human rights impacts with which they are involved.

**Guiding Principle 12:** The responsibility of business enterprises to respect human rights refers to internationally recognized human rights – understood, at a minimum, as those expressed in the International Bill of Human Rights and the principles concerning fundamental rights set out in the International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work.

**Guiding Principle 13:** The responsibility to respect human rights requires that business enterprises:

- (a) Avoid causing or contributing to adverse human rights impacts through their own activities, and address such impacts when they occur;
- (b) Seek to prevent or mitigate adverse human rights impacts that are directly linked to their operations, products or services by their business relationships, even if they have not contributed to those impacts.

**Guiding Principle 14:** The responsibility of business enterprises to respect human rights applies to all enterprises regardless of their size, sector, operational context, ownership and structure. Nevertheless, the scale and complexity of the means through which enterprises meet that responsibility may vary according to these factors and with the severity of the enterprise's adverse human rights impacts.

**Guiding Principle 15**: In order to meet their responsibility to respect human rights, business enterprises should have in place policies and processes appropriate to their size and circumstances, including:

- (a) A policy commitment to meet their responsibility to respect human rights;
- (b) A human rights due diligence process to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for how they address their impacts on human rights;
- (c) Processes to enable the remediation of any adverse human rights impacts they cause or to which they contribute.

**Guiding Principle 16:** As the basis for embedding their responsibility to respect human rights, business enterprises should express their commitment to meet this responsibility through a statement of policy that:

- (a) Is approved at the most senior level of the business enterprise;
- (b) Is informed by relevant internal and/or external expertise;
- (c) Stipulates the enterprise's human rights expectations of personnel, business partners and other parties directly linked to its operations, products or services;
- (d) Is publicly available and communicated internally and externally to all personnel, business partners and other relevant parties;
- (e) Is reflected in operational policies and procedures necessary to embed it throughout the business enterprise.

# **Relevant Sustainable Development Goals and Targets**

All Goals

# 1. Human Rights Policy Commitments

Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Do businesses have specific and publicly-available human rights policy commitments in place, detailing the businesses responsibilities, commitments and expectations with regard to human rights, and applicable throughout their operations? Do companies disseminate their human rights policy commitments externally to relevant stakeholders and to their business relationships through providing adequate training, guidance?	
Do business enterprises' policy commitments include, at a minimum, the internationally recognised human rights expressed in the International Bill of Rights, and the principles	

concerning fundamental rights set out in the International	
Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles	
and Rights at Work? Do business policy commitment refer to	
the full content of human rights and to specific instruments of	
particular relevance to their industry? Do policy commitments	
refer to the UNGPs and/or the OECD Guidelines for	
Multinational Enterprises?	
Do business enterprises commit to other standards related to	
the corporate responsibility to respect human rights, such as the	
IFC Performance Standards?	
Do business enterprises' human rights policies cover the entire	
corporate group and the value chain (including business	
relationships)? Are these policy commitments integrated into	
contractual requirements with third parties?	
Do business enterprises participate in initiatives relevant to the	
corporate responsibility to respect human rights, including	
multi-stakeholder initiatives such as the UN Global Compact, the	
Global Network Initiative (GNI), the International Code of	
Conduct for Private Security Service Providers Association	
(ICoCA), the Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights	
Initiative, the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI),	
the Ethical Trading Imitative (ETI), Fair Labor Association (FLA),	
etc.?	

2. Management Commitment and Embedding of Human Rights into the Company	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Have business enterprises' policy commitments been informed	
by relevant internal and/or external expertise, gathered through	
credible online or written resources, and through consultations,	
including with recognised experts and affected stakeholders?	
Have business enterprises' policy commitments been approved	
and endorsed at the most senior level of the business, for	
example by the CEO, board of directors, or senior management?	
Do the board of directors and/or senior management receive	•
incentives linked to the implementation of the human rights	
policy commitments?	
Do business enterprises establish clear lines and systems of	
accountability and responsibility regarding respect for and	
implementation of policy commitments across operations and	
business relationships? Do businesses have a process for	
updating their human rights policies and processes?	
Do business enterprises disseminate their human rights policy	
commitments internally to all staff through providing adequate	
training, guidance, incentives, and disincentives?	
Do business enterprises ensure that internal teams are	
supported by human rights expertise, and the roles and	
responsibilities for assessing, mitigation and management are	
assigned and adequately resourced?	
Do business enterprises integrate their human rights policy	
statements in all operations, and ensure coherence with policies	
and procedures that govern wider business activities and	

relationships? Do business enterprises integrate attention to
human rights risks into its broader enterprise risk management
systems?

### **HUMAN RIGHTS DUE DILIGENCE**

**Guiding Principle 17**: In order to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for how they address their adverse human rights impacts, business enterprises should carry out human rights due diligence. The process should include assessing actual and potential human rights impacts, integrating and acting upon the findings, tracking responses, and communicating how impacts are addressed. Human rights due diligence:

- (a) Should cover adverse human rights impacts that the business enterprise may cause or contribute to through its own activities, or which may be directly linked to its operations, products or services by its business relationships;
- (b) Will vary in complexity with the size of the business enterprise, the risk of severe human rights impacts, and the nature and context of its operations;
- (c) Should be ongoing, recognizing that the human rights risks may change over time as the business enterprise's operations and operating context evolve.

**Guiding Principle 18:** In order to gauge human rights risks, business enterprises should identify and assess any actual or potential adverse human rights impacts with which they may be involved either through their own activities or as a result of their business relationships. This process should:

- (a) Draw on internal and/or independent external human rights expertise;
- (b) Involve meaningful consultation with potentially affected groups and other relevant stakeholders, as appropriate to the size of the business enterprise and the nature and context of the operation.

**Guiding Principle 19**: In order to prevent and mitigate adverse human rights impacts, business enterprises should integrate the findings from their impact assessments across relevant internal functions and processes, and take appropriate action.

- (a) Effective integration requires that:
  - (i) Responsibility for addressing such impacts is assigned to the appropriate level and function within the business enterprise;
- (ii) Internal decision-making, budget allocations and oversight processes enable effective responses to such impacts.
- (b) Appropriate action will vary according to:
- (i) Whether the business enterprise causes or contributes to an adverse impact, or whether it is involved solely because the impact is directly linked to its operations, products or services by a business relationship;
  - (ii) The extent of its leverage in addressing the adverse impact.

**Guiding Principle 20**: In order to verify whether adverse human rights impacts are being addressed, business enterprises should track the effectiveness of their response. Tracking should:

- (a) Be based on appropriate qualitative and quantitative indicators;
- (b) Draw on feedback from both internal and external sources, including affected stakeholders.

**Guiding Principle 21**: In order to account for how they address their human rights impacts, business enterprises should be prepared to communicate this externally, particularly when concerns are raised by or on behalf of affected stakeholders. Business enterprises whose operations or operating contexts pose risks of severe human rights impacts should report formally on how they address them. In all instances, communications should:

- (a) Be of a form and frequency that reflect an enterprise's human rights impacts and that are accessible to its intended audiences;
- (b) Provide information that is sufficient to evaluate the adequacy of an enterprise's response to the particular human rights impact involved;
- (c) In turn not pose risks to affected stakeholders, personnel or to legitimate requirements of commercial confidentiality

Guiding Principle 23: In all contexts, business enterprises should:

- (a) Comply with all applicable laws and respect internationally recognized human rights, wherever they operate;
- (b) Seek ways to honor the principles of internationally recognized human rights when faced with conflicting requirements;
- (c) Treat the risk of causing or contributing to gross human rights abuses as a legal compliance issue wherever they operate.

**Guiding Principle 24**: Where it is necessary to prioritize actions to address actual and potential adverse human rights impacts, business enterprises should first seek to prevent and mitigate those that are most severe or where delayed response would make them irremediable.

### **Relevant Sustainable Development Goals and Targets**

moments of operations and business developments such as

entering new markets or relationships or expanding operations?

- All Goals.
- Target 12.6: Encourage companies, especially large and transnational companies, to adopt sustainable practices and to integrate sustainability information into their reporting cycle

# Guiding Questions Do business enterprises consider human rights standards as the benchmark for risk and impact assessment? Do business enterprises identify their actual and potential impacts caused or contributed to by their operations, as well as impacts directly linked through operations, products or services through business relationships (contractual and non-contractual) with a particular attention to the human rights potential and actual impacts specific to their industry (salient human rights issues)? Do business enterprises assess human rights impacts at key

Do business enterprises conduct human rights impact assessment	
at project level? If so, do they apply a Human Rights-Based	
Approach to the assessment? (see Chapter 3 of the Toolkit and	
10 criteria in DIHR's Human Right Impact Assessment Guidance	
Do processes for assessing impact involve consultations with	
stakeholders including potentially affected rights-holders? Do	
companies pay particular attention to human rights impacts	
affecting marginalised or at-risk groups, and on gender	
2. Integrating and Acting upon Findings and Prioritising Response	S
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Do business enterprises ensure that impact assessment findings	
are internally understood, communicated on, and acted upon at	
the appropriate level, including through commitment from senior	
management and collaboration among relevant departments?	
Do business enterprises assign adequate resources, including	
financial and human resources, for integrating and acting upon	
findings of potential or actual adverse human rights impacts? Do business enterprises utilise leverage over other actors to mitigate	
any remaining impact to the greatest extent possible?	
Where it is necessary to prioritise actions to address impacts, do	
business enterprises consider the severity of human rights	
consequences, including the scope and scale of, and ability to	
remediate, particular impacts, as the core criterion?	

3. Tracking and Communicating	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Do business enterprises use qualitative and quantitative	
indicators, including sector-specific and key performance	
indicators, in order to track their human rights performance?	
Do business enterprises seek external feedback (in particular	
from affected rights-holders) and internal feedback (including	
from reporting processes and lessons learned from grievance	
mechanisms) when tracking their human rights performance?	
Do business enterprises publicly communicate on how they	
address adverse human rights impacts? Is the frequency and	
form of public communication sufficient to evaluate the	
adequacy of responses? Do companies ensure that	
communication with regard to human rights respect does not	
pose risks to affected stakeholders and their representatives?	
Do business enterprises seek independent verification of their	
human rights reporting, for example, through third-party	
auditing?	
Do business enterprises take steps to ensure communications on	
human rights are accessible to its intended audiences, including	
to marginalised or at-risk groups, individuals or groups who may	
be impacted, and other relevant stakeholders, including	
investors?	

4. Reported Adverse Impacts on Human Rights	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Are there publicly reported cases of business enterprises' involvement in adverse impacts in the area of labour rights? E.g. relating to discrimination, forced labour, child labour, freedom of association and collective bargaining, working conditions, health and safety etc.?	
Are there publicly reported cases of business enterprises' involvement in adverse impacts affecting local communities? E.g. in relation to land, housing, environment, discrimination etc.	
How have the relevant businesses responded to reported cases of adverse human rights impacts? Have the relevant businesses self-reported on these cases? How do these reports compare?	
5. Human Rights Respect in Complex Environments such as Conflict-affected Areas	
Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Do business enterprises have specific policies and processes in place to ensure respect for human rights in complex environments, such as conflict-affected areas?	
Do business enterprises have systems and processes in place to deal with conflicting requirements between national laws and regulations and internationally recognised human rights? Are decisions related to conflicting requirements taken at senior	
management level?	

Do business enterprises treat risks of causing or contributing to	
gross human rights abuses as a matter of legal compliance,	
regardless of the possibility of being held legally liable? Do	
businesses consider both direct and indirect contributions to	
gross human rights abuses?	
Do business enterprises provide positive and/or negative	
incentives, adequate resources, guidance and training, and clear	
expectations to all relevant employees, departments, and	
business relationship in relation to respecting human rights in	•
complex environments? Do businesses establish clear lines and	
systems of accountability and responsibility in these contexts?	
Do business enterprises cooperate with and regularly consult	
with credible and independent experts and relevant stakeholders	
such as civil society organisations, experts, governments	
including NHRIs, industry bodies, multi-stakeholder initiatives,	
business partners, and affected rights-holders when assessing	
and addressing human rights risks present in operating or	
sustaining business relationships in complex environments?	
Do business enterprises specifically report on human rights	
respect in complex environments, such as conflict-affected	
areas?	
Are there publicly reported cases of businesses failing to respect	
human rights and/or contributing to or exacerbating negative	
human rights impacts, including gross human rights abuses in	
complex environments such as conflict-affected areas?	

### **REMEDIATION**

Guiding Principle 22: Where business enterprises identify that they have caused or contributed to adverse impacts, they should provide for or cooperate in their remediation through legitimate processes.

Guiding Principle 29: To make it possible for grievances to be addressed early and remediated directly, business enterprises should establish or participate in effective operational-level grievance mechanisms for individuals and communities who may be adversely impacted.

Guiding Principle 30: Industry, multi-stakeholder and other collaborative initiatives that are based on respect for human rights-related standards should ensure that effective grievance mechanisms are available.

Guiding Principle 31: In order to ensure their effectiveness, non-judicial grievance mechanisms, both State-based and non-State-based, should be:

- (a) Legitimate: enabling trust from the stakeholder groups for whose use they are intended, and being accountable for the fair conduct of grievance processes;
- (b) Accessible: being known to all stakeholder groups for whose use they are intended, and providing adequate assistance for those who may face particular barriers to access;
- (c) Predictable: providing a clear and known procedure with an indicative time frame for each stage, and clarity on the types of process and outcome available and means of monitoring implementation;
- (d) Equitable: seeking to ensure that aggrieved parties have reasonable access to sources of information, advice and expertise necessary to engage in a grievance process on fair, informed and respectful terms;
- (e) Transparent: keeping parties to a grievance informed about its progress, and providing sufficient information about the mechanism's performance to build confidence in its effectiveness and meet any public interest at stake;
- (f) Rights-compatible: ensuring that outcomes and remedies accord with internationally recognized human rights;
- (g) A source of continuous learning: drawing on relevant measures to identify lessons for improving the mechanism and preventing future grievances and harms; Operational-level mechanisms should also be:

(h) Based on engagement and dialogue: consulting the stakeholder groups for whose use they are intended on their design and performance, and focusing on dialogue as the means to address and resolve grievances.

# **Relevant Sustainable Development Goals and Targets**

• Goal 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels (Target 16.3)

# 1. Mechanisms for Effective Remediation of Adverse Human Rights Impacts

Guiding Questions	Status and Gaps
Have business enterprises established clearly defined remediation	
processes and mechanisms through which grievances related to	
adverse impacts they have caused or contributed to can be raised	
and addressed in a systematic manner? These mechanisms can be	
independent, shared, or operated by a third-party. Are there any	
limitations to who can utilise these processes and mechanisms	
and the types of grievances that can be brought?	
Do business enterprises provide for or cooperate in remediation	
in cases of adverse human rights impacts that they are linked to	
through their operations or products, or services by their business	
relationships, including through the use of leverage?	
Do remediation mechanisms and processes that businesses have	
established or with which they cooperate with comply with the	
effectiveness criteria laid out in UNGP 31?	

Do remediation mechanisms and processes that businesses have	
established or with which they cooperate require that	
complainants sign legal waivers in order to receive reparations?	
Do business enterprises meaningfully consult with relevant	
stakeholders, in particular with affected rights-holders,	
throughout the process of designing, operating, monitoring, and	
improving the grievance mechanisms they have established or	
cooperate with?	
Do business enterprises take measures to guarantee the	
confidentiality, dignity, and security of individuals or groups	
raising complaints or concerns, including by taking measures	
against any form of retaliation?	
Do business enterprises monitor the effectiveness of grievance	
mechanisms and processes, including by seeking internal and	
external feedback and through the use of both qualitative and	
quantitative indicators?	
Do business enterprises provide internal guidance, training,	
incentives, and sufficient resources to relevant departments and	
employees, and establish clear lines and systems of responsibility	
and accountability for remediation, including at senior	
management level?	
Are there publicly reported cases of businesses failing to provide	
adequate remediation for adverse human rights impacts they	
have caused or contributed to, or to cooperate in remediation	
through legitimate processes including judicial and state-based	
non-judicial mechanisms?	
	L

# LINKS WITH THE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

	Sustainable Development Goals and Targets	
DUE DILIGENCE	<ul> <li>Goal 12: Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns</li> <li>Target 12.6 to "encourage companies, especially large and transnational companies, to adopt sustainable practices and to integrate sustainability information into their reporting cycle"</li> </ul>	
CORPORATE STRUCTURES AND GOVERNANCE	<ul> <li>Goal 12: Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns</li> <li>Target 12.6 to "encourage companies, especially large and transnational companies, to adopt sustainable practices and to integrate sustainability information into their reporting cycle"</li> </ul>	
LABOUR	<ul> <li>Goal 5: Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls</li> <li>Target 5.1 to "end all forms of discrimination against all women and girls everywhere"</li> <li>Goal 8: Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all</li> <li>Target 8.5 to "achieve full and productive employment and decent work for all women and men, including for young people and persons with disabilities, and equal pay for work of equal value"</li> <li>Target 8.7 to "take immediate and effective measures to eradicate forced labour, end modern slavery and human trafficking and secure the prohibition and elimination of the worst forms of child labour, including recruitment and use of child soldiers, and by 2025 end child labour in all its forms"</li> <li>Target 8.8 to "protect labour rights and promote safe and secure working environments for all workers, including migrant workers, in particular women migrants, and those in precarious employment"</li> <li>Goal 10: Reduce inequality within and among countries</li> </ul>	

	•	<ul> <li>Target 10.3 to "ensure equal opportunity and reduce inequalities of outcome, including by eliminating discriminatory laws, policies and practices and promoting appropriate legislation, policies and action in this regard"</li> <li>Goal 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels</li> <li>Target 16.10 to "ensure public access to information and protect fundamental freedoms, in accordance with national legislation and international agreements"</li> </ul>
ENVIRONMENT AND LAND	•	Goal 1: End poverty in all its forms everywhere  • Target 1.4 to "ensure that all men and women, in particular the poor and the vulnerable, have equal rights to economic resources, as well as access to basic services, ownership and control over land and other forms of property, inheritance, natural resources, appropriate new technology and financial services, including microfinance"
	•	<ul> <li>Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture</li> <li>Target 2.3 to "double the agricultural productivity and incomes of small-scale food producers, in particular women, indigenous peoples, family farmers, pastoralists and fishers, including through secure and equal access to land, other productive resources and inputs, knowledge, financial services, markets and opportunities for value addition and non-farm employment"</li> <li>Goal 12: Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns</li> <li>Target 12.2 to "achieve the sustainable management and efficient use of natural resources"</li> <li>Target 12.4 to "achieve the environmentally sound management of chemicals and all wastes throughout their life cycle, in accordance with agreed international frameworks, and significantly reduce their release to air, water and soil in order to minimize their adverse</li> </ul>
COMMUNITY	•	impacts on human health and the environment"  Goal 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to
CONSULTATION AND ENGAGEMENT		justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels

	Target 16.7 to "ensure responsive, inclusive, participatory and representative decision-making at all levels"
OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY	<ul> <li>Goal 8: Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all</li> <li>Target 8.8 to "protect labour rights and promote safe and secure working environments for all workers, including migrant workers, in particular women migrants, and those in precarious employment"</li> <li>Goal 12: Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns</li> <li>Target 12.4 to "achieve the environmentally sound management of chemicals and all wastes throughout their life cycle, in accordance with agreed international frameworks, and significantly reduce their release to air, water and soil in order to minimize their adverse impacts on human health and the environment"</li> </ul>
TAX	<ul> <li>Goal 17: Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development</li> <li>Target 17.1 Strengthen domestic resource mobilization, including through international support to developing countries, to improve domestic capacity for tax and other revenue collection</li> </ul>
TRADE AND INVESTMENT	<ul> <li>Goal 17: Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development</li> <li>Target 17.5 to "adopt and implement investment promotion regimes for least developed countries"</li> <li>Target 17.11 to "significantly increase the exports of developing countries, in particular with a view to doubling the least developed countries' share of global exports by 2020"</li> </ul>
ANTI-BRIBERY AND CORRUPTION	<ul> <li>Goal 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels</li> <li>Target 16.7 to "substantially reduce corruption and bribery in all their forms"</li> </ul>

HUMAN RIGHTS	al 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for susta	ainable development, provide access to
DEFENDERS AND	justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels	
WHISTLEBLOWERS	Target 16.10 to "ensure public access to information ar	
	accordance with national legislation and international	•
INFORMATION AND	al 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for susta	
COMMUNICATION RIGHTS	tice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive	
	Target 16.10 to "ensure public access to information ar	
	accordance with national legislation and international	,
ANTI-DISCRIMINATION	al 10: Reduce inequality within and among countries	
	Target 10.3 to "ensure equal opportunity and reduce in	nequalities of outcome, including by
	eliminating discriminatory laws, policies and practices a	and promoting appropriate legislation,
	policies and action in this regard"	
	al 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for susta	ainable development, provide access to
	tice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive	e institutions at all levels
	Target 16.b to "promote and enforce non-discriminato	ry laws and policies for sustainable
	development"	
NATIONAL HUMAN	al 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for susta	ainable development, provide access to
RIGHTS INSTITUTION	tice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive	e institutions at all levels
(NHRI)	Target 16.a to "strengthen relevant national institution	ns, including through international
	cooperation, for building capacity at all levels, in partic	cular in developing countries, to prevent
	violence and combat terrorism and crime"	
STATE-BUSINESS NEXUS	al 12: Responsible consumption and production	
	Target 12.7 to "promote public procurement practices	that are sustainable, in accordance
	with national policies and priorities"	
	al 17: Partnerships for the Goals	
	Target 17.5 to "adopt and implement investment prom	notion regimes for least developed
	countries"	

		• Target 17.11 to "significantly increase the exports of developing countries, in particular with a
		view to doubling the least developed countries' share of global exports by 2020"
		<ul> <li>Target 17.17 to "encourage and promote effective public, public-private and civil society</li> </ul>
		partnerships, building on experience and resourcing strategies of partnerships."
	•	Sectors that are typically privatised include education (Goal 4), water and sanitations (Goal 6),
		energy (Goal 7), infrastructure (Goal 9) and security (Goal 16)
STATES' ROLE IN	•	Goal 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to
RELATION TO BUSINESS		justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels.
CONDUCT IN CONFLICT- AFFECTED AREAS		• Target 16.1 to "significantly reduce all forms of violence and related death rates everywhere"
POLICY COHERENCE	•	Goal 17: Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the Global Partnership for
ACROSS STATE ACTIVITY		Sustainable Development.
		<ul> <li>Target 17.14 to "enhance policy coherence for sustainable development"</li> </ul>
ACCESS TO STATE- BASED	•	Goal 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to
REMEDY		justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels.
		<ul> <li>Target 16.3 to "promote the rule of law at the national and international levels and ensure</li> </ul>
		equal access to justice for all"
		<ul> <li>Target 16.a to "strengthen relevant national institutions, including through international</li> </ul>
		cooperation, for building capacity at all levels, in particular in developing countries, to
		prevent violence and combat terrorism and crime"